



XA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/942,245 08/29/2001		Tongbi Jiang	2421.1US (99-0408.1)	8370		
24247	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMINER			
TRASK BRI' P.O. BOX 255			IM, JUNG	IM, JUNGHWA M		
	CITY, UT 84110	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2811			

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					me			
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	7.10			
Office Action Summary		09/942,245		JIANG, TONGBI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Junghwa M. II	i.	2811				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the provi	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to on to become ABANDONEL	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	September 2003	<u>3</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-f	inal.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-16,19-24,26-41 and 44-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)区 Claim(s) <u>1-16,19-24,26-41 and 44-50</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* ; 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document complete copies of the priority document some copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first complete the translation of the foreign language processing the complete complet	ts have been rets have been retrity documents ou (PCT Rule 1 of the certified tic priority underst sentence of ovisional application priority understic priority unde	eceived. eceived in Application s have been received 7.2(a)). I copies not receive r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) the specification or cation has been receive r 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National and the control of the control	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen		••	Danier de la constant	(DTO 440) D				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) §	5)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Estes et al. (US 6,410, 415), hereafter Estes.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39 and 41, Fig.4 of Estes shows a semiconductor assembly comprising: a semiconductor device (a die) 1 having an active surface having at least one bond pad 6, a substrate 3 having an upper surface having at least one circuit (an electrode 4 on substrate 3 and col. 1 line 14) at least one bump 2 connecting one bond pad on said active surface of said semiconductor device to said at least one circuit on said upper surface of said substrate, said at least one bump forming a gap (8 in Fig. 6) between said semiconductor device and said substrate an underfill material 5 provided between said substrate and said semiconductor device and a wetting agent layer provided on the active surface of said semiconductor device (col. 17, lines 51-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2811

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4-5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 27, 29-30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estes in view of Wong et al. (US 6,180, 696), hereafter Wong.

Regarding claims 2, 4-5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 27, 29-30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40 and 44, Estes shows a substantially identical structure to the device of the pending claims except a specified wetting material. Wong discloses ethyltrimethoxysilane as a wetting material for an underfill process applied for a flip chip packaging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teaching of Wong for the wetting layer of Estes in order to have to good adhesion between chips and substrates, therefore reducing a surface tension in-between as taught in a portion of col. 15, lines 18-22 of Wong's reference.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 24, 45, 46, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estes in view of DeFelice et al. (US 6,190,940), hereafter DeFelice.

Regarding claims 20, 21, 23, 24, 45, 46, 48 and 49, Fig. 4 of Estes shows a semiconductor assembly comprising: a semiconductor die (a chip) 1 having an active surface having a plurality of bond pads 6, a substrate having an upper surface having a plurality of circuits(electrodes 4 on substrate 3 and col. 1 line 14), a plurality of bumps 2 connecting said plurality of bond pads on said active surface of said semiconductor die to said plurality of circuits on said upper surface of said substrate, said plurality of bumps forming a gap between said semiconductor die and said substrate, an underfill material 5 provided between said

Art Unit: 2811

substrate and said semiconductor die, and a wetting agent layer provided on said active surface of said semiconductor die (col. 17, lines 51-52).

Estes shows a substantially identical structure to the device of the pending claims except wetting the surface of a substrate. Fig. 8 of DeFelice shows a semiconductor device comprising a chip (a die) 21, bumps 41 and a wetting layer 39 formed on the substrate (col. 8, lines 4-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of DeFelice to the substrate layer of Estes in addition to the active surface of the chip since having a wetting layer on the both surfaces of a chip and a substrate provide a better adhesion and lessening a deformity caused by the tension between the chip and the substrate.

Claims 22 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estes and DeFelice as applied to claims 20 and 45 above, and further in view of Wong.

A device of Estes and DeFelice shows a substantially identical structure to the device of the pending claim except a specified wetting material. Wong discloses ethyltrimethoxysilane as a wetting material for an underfill process applied for a flip chip packaging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teaching of Wong for the wetting layer of Estes and DeFelice in order to have to good adhesion between chips and substrates, therefore reducing a surface tension in-between as taught in a portion of col. 15, lines 18-22 of Wong's reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument against Estes is not well taken. Examiner would like to point out that Applicant's skillful manipulation of wording is merely being argumentative rather than probative. The claim terminology, "wetting agent" does not define any specific material or composition. Rather, the term, wetting agent merely defines a layer of material and at most, its intended function. Clearly, the intended function of claimed wetting agent layer and the adhesive layer of Estes perform the same function. That is to improve the bond between the chip and the substrate and remove any voids that may weaken this bond. Therefore, the rejection is proper, thus, maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2811

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 6

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (703) 305-3998. The

examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmi

November 14, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800